

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,657	09/15/2003	Thomas T. Hardt	200302618-2	9403
7.	590 07/18/2005		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			BUI, HUNG S	
	perty Administration		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 27240				
Fort Collins, C	CO 80527-2400		2841	
			DATE MAILED: 07/18/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<del>-</del>		AK
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/662,657	HARDT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Hung S. Bui	2841	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA*  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica*  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the set or extended period for reply will be set or extended period for reply w	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a station. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n .		
	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a		ters, prosecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice u	ınder <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.E	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from consideration.	•	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 20 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	$203$ is/are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ ito the drawing(s) be held in abeyan correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)  I)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date	:0)
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/15/2003.	/SB/08) 5) Notice of 1 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-15 —.	04)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 8 depends upon claim 11, it appears that applicant intended claim 8 to depend upon claim 1. Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2, there is no antecedent basis for "the flexible spine." Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6-11 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grant et al. [US 6,501,020].

Regarding claims 1, 7, 9-10 and 16-17, Grant et al. disclose a harness assembly (figure 1) for supporting at least one flexible member (18), comprising a flexible longitudinal member (42) and a plurality of self-securing straps (44) secured to the longitudinal member with each of the plurality of straps being adapted to secure the harness to the at least one flexible member.

Regarding claims 2-3 and 18-20, Grant et al. disclose a first securing member adapted to secure the harness to movable device (22) and a second securing member adapted to secure the harness to a supporting member/stationary object (32, figure 3).

Regarding claim 6, Grant et al. disclose the straps adapted to securing the flexible member (figures 7-8).

Application/Control Number: 10/662,657

Art Unit: 2841

Regarding claim 8, Grant et al. disclose the at least one flexible member being at least one electrical cable (18, column 11, lines 15-50).

Regarding claim 11, as best understood, Grant et al. disclose the use of a plurality of harness members (18) secured to the flexible spine (figure 1).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-5, 12-15 and 21-24, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grant et al. in view of Saxby et al. [US 6,326,547].

Regarding claims 4-5, Grant et al. disclose everything claimed except the harness including third and fourth securing members adapted to secure the harness to first and second supporting members mounted between the movable device and the stationary object.

Saxby et al. disclose a harness assembly (100) having a first securing member (218) securing the assembly to a movable device (104) and a second securing member (204) adapted to secure the harness to the first securing member, a third securing member (202) adapted to secure the harness to the second securing member and a fourth securing member (206) adapted to secure the harness to a stationary object (103, figure 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the harness securing method of Saxby for the harness assembly of Grant et al. in order to provide a collapsible flexible support structure.

Regarding claims 12-15, Saxby et al. disclose different harness support members axially displaced from each other [figure 2]. It is well known to duplicate support arm to support more things, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co. 193 USPQ 8.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, at opposite end, to provide more arms and control to the harness support, because it is well known to duplicate parts.

Regarding claims 21-24, Grant et al. disclose everything claimed except the harness and harness support assembly being used with a rack.

Saxby et al. disclose the harness and harness support structure being used with a rack. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the harness support of Grant et al. could have been used with a rack in order to organize and support cables for use with rack mounted components.

## Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung S. Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-2102. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30AM-6:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,657 Page 5

Art Unit: 2841

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (571) 272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

6/29/05 *Hung Bui* Art unit 2841

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800